	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/600,621	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry S. Hu	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31:	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED i) or other appropriate comm (IGHTS. This application is:	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. 🛮 This communication is responsive to <u>Pre-Amendment of J</u>	une 23, 2003.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 20-29.			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>23 June 2003</u> are accepted by the E	Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been receive of this communication to file	on No d in this national stage applica	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminification (PTO-152) which give			OTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the second sheet.	son's Patent Drawing Reviews S Amendment / Comment o	r in the Office action of he drawings in the front (not the	back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· —	nformal Patent Application (PT0 summary (PTO-413),	O-152)
	Paper No.	/Mail Date	
 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 6-23-03 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit 	08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's 8. ⊠ Examiner's	Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo	wance
of Biological Material	9. Other	<u>-</u>	

Art Unit: 1713

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in two telephone interviews with Robert Carpenter (tel. 202 857-6495) and Richard Berman (tel. 202 857-6000) on April 1 and 2, 2004 to amend the following claims:

Claims

Claim 20 at line 9

replace the word of "size" with "diameter"

Claim 20 at line 7

remove the phrase of "at least one of"

Claim 20 at line 10

remove the phrase of "preferably 0.55-1.0 g/cm³"

Claim 23 at line 2

remove the phrase of "preferably 15° - 70°C"

Claim 23 at line 3

remove the phrase of "preferably 10 and 20 rps"

Art Unit: 1713

Claim 24 at line 2 remove the phrase of "preferably in three steps"

Claim 25 at line 3 remove the phrase of "preferably 50-200 g/litre"

Claim 25 at line 5 remove the word of "preferably"

Claim 25 at line 8 remove the phrase of "preferably between 50 and 200 g/litre"

DETAILED ACTION

2. It is noted that this application 10/600,621 filed on June 23, 2003 is a **DIV of 09/887,113 06/25/2001, now US Patent No. 6,624,269**. According to the **pre-amendment** filed with the application on June 23, 2003, **Claims 1-19 were cancelled**, and **new Claims 20-29 were added**. The above examiner's amendment has corrected the above-mentioned improper language in Claims 20 and 23-25. **Four drawing sheets** with four figures submitted by the Applicants on June 23, 2003 have been previously approved since they are the same drawing used in the parent case 09-887113, now US Patent No. 6,624,269. **Claims 20-29 are pending now**.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 20-29 allowed.

Art Unit: 1713

- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The above claims are allowed over the closest references:
- 5. The parent Claim 20 of present invention relates to a process for obtaining <u>tetrafluoro-</u> <u>ethylene thermoprocessable copolymer micropheres</u>, said process comprising the steps of:
- (A) providing equipment formed by a coagulation apparatus, said equipment including a jacket for maintaining a temperature in the coagulation apparatus at a desired value, an outlet for the coagulated product, a coagulant inlet, a latex inlet, a filter, and a liquid outlet;
 - (B) feeding latex and coagulant into respective inlets,

wherein the microspheres have <u>a substantially spherical shape for at least 95% by</u>
weight, the average diameter of the microspheres being in the range of 25 µm-2 mm, the bulk
density being in the range 0.5-1.1 g/cm³. See other limitations of dependent Claims 21-29.

6. In a close examination, parent Claim 20 of present invention carries the specific limitation of "providing equipment" and "feeding" for a process for obtaining tetrafluoroethylene thermoprocessable copolymer micropheres as well as the limitation of "a substantially spherical shape for at least 95% by weight, the average diameter of the microspheres being in the range of 25 µm-2 mm, the bulk density being in the range 0.5-1.1 g/cm³" for properties of the copolymer microsphere material.

Art Unit: 1713

7. It should be noted that present invention has presented a combination of the above-requirements for coagulation process and its product properties. To be more specific as following: (A) both latex and coagulant are each feeding into respective inlets of the specific coagulation apparatus. Otherwise, the coagulation is not homogeneous; (B) such obtained microsphere has a property specification in both average diameter and bulk density; and (C) it only applies to TFE thermoprocessable copolymer.

US Patent No. 5,064,938 to Suzuki et al. have disclosed a continuous production process of particulate polymer and control method of the particle size (title), wherein the polymer latex is only added into a water medium to coagulate into a slurry (column 4, line 6-25).

No coagulant is used together with latex in the coagulation. In a close examination, the average particle diameter reported in Tabels 1-11 can be controlled to be overlapping with the claimed range, while its bulk density is always below the claimed range. Additionally, it only applies to non-fluorinated homopolymer or copolymer latexes such as polyacrylates, polybutadiene, polychloroprene, polyvinyl halides and the like polymers (column 4, line 41 – column 5, line 10). Therefore, Suzuki does not teach or fairly suggest using the claimed coagulation process to prepare the TFE copolymer microsphere having the claimed properties.

8. **US Patent No. 6,114,415 to Bertelo** et al. have disclosed a batchwise or continuous process for producing coagulated particles of polymer latex, wherein the polymer latex is only injecting into a water medium through a receptacle or a baffle to coagulate into a slurry (see procedure at abstract, line 1-11 and the set up in front page). **The coagulant is disclosed to be**

Art Unit: 1713

used together with latex (column 1, line 12-14). In a close examination, the average particle diameter reported on column 2 at line 4-12 can be controlled in the order of 3 to 5 mm and is therefore higher than with the claimed range, while its bulk density is not reported.

Additionally, it only applies to non-fluorinated homopolymer, copolymer or grafted polymer latexes such as polyacrylates, polyacrylonitrile, polyvinyl or polyvinylidene halides (which it may include fluoride) and the like polymers (column 3, line 35 – column 4, line 19). Therefore, Bertelo does not teach or fairly suggest using the claimed coagulation process to prepare the TFE copolymer microsphere having the claimed properties.

US Patent No. 5,463,006 to Abuseleme et al. have disclosed the preparation of thermoprocessable copolymers of TFE and 0.5-13 wt% of perfluoromethylvinylether

(abstract, line 1-15; see co-polymerization process in Examples 1-7), wherein the fluorinated copolymer is useful for coating electric cables by melt extrusion. In a close examination, the cooled emulsion obtained from copolymerization reactor is first discharged and coagulated by the addition of HNO₃ (65%), and the polymer is then separated, washed with water, dried at 220 °C, and palletized (column 6, line 20-25). No coagulant is used together with latex in the coagulation. In further examination, average particle diameter or bulk density is not reported on the data relating to the polymer characterization in Table 1 (column 7, line 2-24). Therefore, Abuseleme does not teach or fairly suggest using the claimed coagulation process to prepare the TFE copolymer microsphere having the claimed properties.

Art Unit: 1713

9. US Patent No. 5,498,682 to Navarrini et al. have disclosed the preparation of thermoprocessable terpolymers of TFE, 0.5 to 13 wt% of perfluoromethylvinylether and 0.05 to 3 wt% of a fluorinated dioxole (abstract, line 1-15; see co-polymerization process in Examples 1-16), wherein the fluorinated copolymer is useful for coating electric cables by melt extrusion (column 1, line 6-12). In a close examination, the cooled emulsion obtained from copolymerization reactor is first discharged and coagulated by the addition of HNO₃ (65%), and the polymer is then separated, washed with water, dried, and palletized (column 12, line 61-65). No coagulant is used together with latex in the coagulation. In further examination, average particle diameter or bulk density is not reported on the data relating to the polymer characterization in Table 1 (column 14, line 16-44). Therefore, Navarrini does not teach or fairly suggest using the claimed coagulation process to prepare the TFE copolymer microsphere having the claimed properties.

In a close examination, the above-mentioned <u>four</u> references, in combination or alone, fail to teach or fairly suggest using the claimed coagulation process to prepare the **TFE** copolymer microsphere having the claimed properties as claimed by the present invention.

Additionally, the present invention has shown in examples along with some comparative examples for unexpected results in obtaining tetrafluoroethylene thermoprocessable copolymer micropheres (see pages 16-33 for examples 1-14 along with its comparative control and Table 1). Therefore, all the above-mentioned references, in combination or alone, does not teach or fairly suggest the limitations of present invention.

Art Unit: 1713

- 10. The two key issues, regarding (A) "providing equipment" and "feeding" for a process for obtaining tetrafluoroethylene thermoprocessable copolymer micropheres as well as (B) "a substantially spherical shape for at least 95% by weight, the average diameter of the microspheres being in the range of 25 µm-2 mm, the bulk density being in the range 0.5-1.1 g/cm³" for properties of the copolymer microsphere material, cannot be overcome by any or the combination of the above references, therefore, the present invention is novel.
- 11. As of the date of this office action, the examiner has not located or identified any reference that can be used singularly or in combination with another reference including the above references to render the present invention anticipated or obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, the independent and parent **Claim 20** is allowed for the reason listed above. Since the prior art of record fails to teach the present invention, the remaining pending Claims 21-29 are passed to issue.
- Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/600,621

Art Unit: 1713

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103. The examiner can

be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all regular

communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="mailto:sy

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry S. Hu

April 12, 2004

DAVID W. WU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700